

# FAREHAM

## BOROUGH COUNCIL

### Minutes of the Council

**Date:** Thursday, 27 October 2022

**Venue:** Council Chamber - Civic Offices

**PRESENT:**

M J Ford, JP  
(Mayor)

F Birkett  
(Deputy Mayor)

**Councillors:** Ms C Bainbridge, I Bastable, Mrs S M Bayford, R Bird,  
Mrs P M Bryant, Ms F Burgess, Miss J Burton,  
Mrs L E Clubley, M R Daniells, H P Davis, S Dugan,  
Mrs T L Ellis, J M Englefield, D G Foot, N R Gregory,  
D J Hamilton, Miss T G Harper, Mrs P Hayre,  
Mrs C L A Hockley, S Ingram, Mrs K Mandry, S D Martin,  
P Nother, Ms S Pankhurst, Mrs K K Trott, N J Walker,  
Mrs S M Walker and S D T Woodward



**1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

An apology for absence was received from Councillor Mrs J Needham.

**2. MINUTES**

RESOLVED that the Mayor be authorised to sign, as a correct record, the minutes of the meeting held on 28 July 2022.

**3. MAYOR'S ANNOUNCEMENTS**

The Mayor announced that it had been an honour and absolute pleasure to make the proclamation for the accession of King Charles III on Sunday 11 September in Fareham Town Centre. He was joined by the Deputy Lieutenant of Hampshire Mrs Joan Ferrer and the Executive Leader of the Council, and the proclamation was observed by hundreds of people who gathered to celebrate the historic occasion.

The Mayor stated that a record of the text of the proclamation will be created and mounted with the Borough seal to create a formal record of the event here in Fareham.

The Mayor further stated that it is also possible to view the video recording of the event on the Council's YouTube channel.

**4. EXECUTIVE LEADER'S ANNOUNCEMENTS**

The Executive Leader and the Mayor welcomed Councillor Harry Davis to his first meeting of the Council following his election to the Portchester East ward at last Thursday's by-election.

**5. EXECUTIVE MEMBERS' ANNOUNCEMENTS**

Leisure and Community

**Fareham Live**

The Executive Member for Leisure and Community announced that unfortunately, as a result of the impact of the Covid 19 pandemic, BH Live has suffered significant financial losses and despite discussions between both parties, it has not been possible for the Council to agree contract terms with BH Live to enable the organisation to continue as the operator of Fareham Live. BH Live now finds itself needing to focus on existing and Bournemouth based venues, rather than expanding into new ones.

The Executive Member reassured Members that this will in no way affect the remodelling work already underway at Fareham Live, which is a milestone project that will kickstart new investment in Fareham town centre.

A new tender opportunity will be launched as soon as possible, which will enable the Council to seek bids from alternative operators.

The Executive Member stated that whilst this news is disappointing, there is clear evidence that people are very happy to return to theatre venues and that she felt certain that this exciting opportunity to become the operator of the Council's new Fareham Live venue will be hotly contested.

### **Christmas Lights Switch On**

The Executive Member for Leisure and Community announced that the Council has managed to secure some external sponsorship to help pay for the costs of the Christmas Lights Switch On event this year, which will be taking place on Saturday 19<sup>th</sup> of November following the theme of the Nutcracker.

National Estate Agents, The Agency UK, has funded the attendance of street entertainers on the day. M Coghlan funeral directors has sponsored an impressive Christmas tree outside Westbury Manor Museum, and Fareham Shopping Centre has sponsored the Nutcracker Trail around Fareham town centre for children to take part in in the lead up to Christmas.

The Executive Member stated that she was sure that members would appreciate the support of our local sponsors in helping to ensure that this well attended, free event, hosted here in Fareham is as successful as ever.

## **6. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations of interest made at this meeting.

## **7. PRESENTATION OF PETITIONS**

There were no petitions presented at this meeting.

## **8. DEPUTATIONS**

There were no deputations given at this meeting.

## **9. REPORTS OF THE EXECUTIVE**

(1) Minutes of meeting Monday, 5 September 2022 of Executive

The recommendation contained at item 8(1) of the minutes was noted and taken into account at item 15 on this agenda.

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting of the Executive held on Monday 05 September 2022 be received.

- (2) Minutes of meeting Monday, 10 October 2022 of Executive

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting of the Executive held on Monday 10 October 2022 be received.

- (3) Schedule of Individual Executive Member and Officer Decisions

RESOLVED that the Schedule of Individual Executive Member and Officer decisions be received.

## 10. REPORTS OF OTHER COMMITTEES

- (1) Minutes of meeting Monday, 25 July 2022 of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs Committee

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting of the Licensing and Regulatory Affairs Committee held on Monday 25 July 2022 be received.

- (2) Minutes of meeting Tuesday, 11 October 2022 of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs Committee

The recommendation contained in item 6 of the minutes was noted and taken into account at item 15 on this agenda.

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting of the Licensing and Regulatory Affairs Committee held on Tuesday 11 October 2022 be received.

- (3) Minutes of meeting Wednesday, 10 August 2022 of Planning Committee

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting of the Planning Committee held on Wednesday 10 August 2022 be received.

- (4) Minutes of meeting Wednesday, 21 September 2022 of Planning Committee

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting of the Planning Committee held on Wednesday 21 September 2022 be received.

- (5) Minutes of meeting Wednesday, 5 October 2022 of Planning Committee

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting of the Planning Committee held on Wednesday 05 October 2022 be received.

- (6) Minutes of meeting Monday, 26 September 2022 of Audit and Governance Committee

RESOLVED that:

- (a) the Council endorses the updates to the Constitution as set out in Part Two of the report presented to the Audit & Governance Committee; and

- (b) the minutes of the meeting of the Audit & Governance Committee held on Monday 26 September 2022 be received.

## **11. REPORTS OF THE SCRUTINY PANELS**

- (1) Minutes of meeting Thursday, 14 July 2022 of Housing Scrutiny Panel

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting of the Housing Scrutiny Panel held on Thursday 14 July 2022 be received.

- (2) Minutes of meeting Thursday, 29 September 2022 of Housing Scrutiny Panel

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting of the Housing Scrutiny Panel held on Thursday 29 September 2022 be received.

- (3) Minutes of meeting Wednesday, 27 July 2022 of Planning and Development Scrutiny Panel

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting of the Planning and Development Scrutiny Panel held on Wednesday 27 July 2022 be received.

- (4) Minutes of meeting Thursday, 1 September 2022 of Daedalus Scrutiny Panel

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting of the Daedalus Scrutiny Panel held on Thursday 01 September 2022 be received.

- (5) Minutes of meeting Thursday, 22 September 2022 of Policy and Resources Scrutiny Panel

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting of the Policy and Resources Scrutiny Panel held on Thursday 22 September 2022 be received.

## **12. QUESTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDER 2.12**

There were no questions submitted for this meeting.

## **13. MOTIONS UNDER STANDING ORDER 2.6**

There were no motions submitted for this meeting.

## **14. LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOUNDARY REVIEW - CONSULTATION RESPONSE ON DRAFT RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NEW ELECTORAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR FAREHAM BOROUGH COUNCIL**

A proposed amendment of an additional Appendix to the Council's Consultation Response to the Local Government Boundary Commission was tabled at this meeting for consideration and is appended to these minutes.

The recommendations of the Licensing and Regulatory Affairs Committee were taken into account in considering this item.

RESOLVED that, having reviewed the working draft of the consultation response on the draft recommendations for new electoral arrangements for Fareham Borough Council, as set out in Appendix C to the report, the Council agrees:

- (a) that the suggested additional wording as detailed in the proposed amendment as tabled be added to the draft consultation response to strengthen the narrative;
- (b) to delegate authority to the Head of Democratic Services to make further alterations to the consultation response following consultation with the Executive Leader and the Member Working Group; and
- (c) to the final consultation response being submitted to the Local Government Boundary Commission for England on 15 November 2022.

## **15. FAREHAM LOCAL PLAN 2037 MAIN MODIFICATIONS**

It was proposed by Councillor S D T Woodward that the provisions of Standing Order 2.15.1 be suspended to allow the Executive Member for Planning and Development to present the report and to speak for longer than five minutes; and further that Standing Order 2.16.1 be suspended to allow all Members to speak more than once on the debate.

Having been duly seconded and carried unanimously, it was RESOLVED that the provisions of Standing Order 2.15.1 be suspended to allow the Executive Member for Planning and Development to present the report and to speak for longer than five minutes; and further that Standing Order 2.16.1 be suspended to allow all Members to speak more than once on the debate.

Councillor R Bird left the meeting at 19.12 and took no part in the discussion or decision on this or subsequent items.

The recommendations of the Executive were taken into account in considering this item.

It was proposed by Councillor S D T Woodward and seconded by Councillor S D Martin that the recommendation contained in the report be approved.

Having been duly proposed and seconded, and upon being put to the vote, the recommendations (a) to (e) of the Fareham Local Plan 2037 Main Modifications report were CARRIED unanimously.

RESOLVED that the Council approves:

- (a) the Inspector's proposed Main Modifications to the Local Plan and the supporting Sustainability Appraisal report and Habitats Regulations Assessment Report for public consultation, subject to corrections of minor typographical and formatting errors;

- (b) the proposed changes to the Policies Map for public consultation;
- (c) the proposed Additional Modifications to the Local Plan for public consultation;
- (d) that the Director of Planning and Regeneration, following consultation with the Executive Member for Planning and Development, be authorised to submit the responses to the consultation to the Planning Inspector in order for her to conclude the Local Plan examination process; and
- (e) that the Schedule of Main Modification be a material consideration to be afforded due weight in the determination of planning applications.

## **16. APPOINTMENTS TO COMMITTEES**

The Council received a report by the Head of Democratic Services which provided details of the calculations for political balance relating to the allocation of seats on committees, following the by-election for the Portchester East ward on 20 October 2022. The report also asked the Council to appoint the nominations of the political groups to committees and also to confirm the role of Chairman and Vice-Chairman for each committee.

Appendix A Allocation of Seats to Committees and Appendix B Committee Nomination Schedule were tabled at the meeting for consideration and are appended to these minutes.

RESOLVED that the Council approves:

- (a) the allocation of seats, as set out in the tabled Appendix A to the report, for the remainder of the municipal year 2022/23; and
- (b) the nominations of the political groups to seats on committees, along with the nominations of deputies and the appointment of a Chairman and Vice Chairman for each committee for the remainder of the municipal year 2022/23, as set out in the tabled Appendix B to the report.

## **17. APPOINTMENTS TO OUTSIDE BODIES**

In considering the appointment of a representative to the Portchester Parish Hall Board of Trustees, it was AGREED that Councillor H P Davis be appointed as Trustee for the remainder of the 2022/23 municipal year.

(The meeting started at 6.40 pm  
and ended at 7.29 pm).





## Fareham Borough Council

Council meeting agenda 27<sup>th</sup> October 2022

### Item 14 – Local Government Boundary Review

#### Amendment proposed under Standing Order 2.14

This amendment is proposed as an Appendix to the Council's Consultation Response to the Local Government Boundary Commission.

To strengthen the community basis of the Commission's proposed boundaries in the eastern part of the Borough, amendments are proposed to two of the boundaries.

**Town Centre:** The Osborn Road/Southampton Road/Harrison Road area is immediately adjacent to Fareham town centre, unconnected to Wallington or Downend. The proposal is that the northern boundary of Fareham Town ward should run west of Serpentine Road, but east of Harrison Road, to incorporate the northern part of the town centre.

Paragraph 57 of the LGBC report is factually incorrect, the significant recommended amendment does not better reflect the statutory criteria, moving the boundary from a major arterial road to a quieter residential street creates a weaker boundary. The modification to include FE2 within Wallington & Downend as such makes little sense. Wickham Road is the main road, it is the busiest road and strongest natural boundary for the area, with few houses along it, mainly offices and an industrial estate - it is a strong boundary. Park Lane, on the other hand, has none of these things.

As such, if the LGBC is intent on retaining some of FE2 within the Wallington & Downend ward it might consider a stronger boundary to be along the top of Southampton Road, moving the older terraced Victorian homes on Wickham Road into Fareham Town along with the flats that make up Old School Court and up to the flats at The Tollgate, a clear difference in housing structure compared to the houses and bungalows which make up the upper FE2 area. Further, the Victorian housing and apartment areas have little or no parking and residents there are much more likely to walk into the town centre for work or to commute and use local amenities, while the more northern areas with driveways are more likely to commute for work and leisure.

This would then create a stronger boundary for Portchester Wicor, a greater electoral equality between Portchester Wicor, Portsdown & Castle and Wallington & Downend, stronger boundaries for Wallington & Downend and more clearly defined communities according not just to those natural boundaries but historical boundaries, communities by housing type, age and local area usage.

**Portchester Wicor:** The addition of the Romsey Avenue area to Wallington & Downend is consistent with our approach of having both sides of a street (in this case Portchester Road) in the same ward. Wicor Primary School and the proposed new development at Romsey Avenue should remain in Portchester Wicor.

Our proposal focused on keeping the 'original' historical boundary of Portchester within the two Portchester Wicor and Portsdown & Castle wards, while the newer housing estates and Downend were to be moved into the Wallington & Downend ward. Namely, a part of PW3 to be moved into Wallington & Downend ward the LGBC have moved back into Wicor. This

creates a very odd boundary and shape for Portchester Wicor, which goes against the criteria for community and strong boundaries. The residents at the top of the LGBC proposed Portchester Wicor ward are likely to have little interaction with those on the coast. In terms of the boundary shape, it creates a very pointed top of the ward that could not be considered a strong boundary.

Rev Dr Ian Meredith, Vicar and Area Dean, stated in his St Mary's Church newsletter that the church considers the boundary to be at Hatherley Crescent as this is where their parish ends. This is an example of a strong community boundary, as the area West of this is included within the Fareham parish and would match up more strongly with our proposed warding.

The Fareham proposed boundary is reflected in housing type also. The Romsey Avenue and Quintrel Avenue areas consist of newer, mostly semi-detached, housing. The area from Cornaway Lane, East and South, is made up almost exclusively of older bungalows. This also creates a different demographic, which again provides strong evidence of differing communities that lend to the creation of a boundary.

The exact changes proposed, which ensure that ward sizes are within the recommended range, are:

1) From Wallington & Downend to Fareham Town (815 voters based on 2028 electorate):

FE2	FE3
Coghlan Close Harrison Road Old School Court Park Lane (east side) Poyner Close Southampton Road William Price Gardens	Archery Lane Northwood Square Osborn Road Osborn Road South Trinity Street (north of Osborn Road)

2) From Portchester Wicor to Wallington & Downend (615 voters):

PW3
Ashtead Close Beaulieu Avenue Hatherley Crescent (*) Merrow Close Portchester Road Quintrel Avenue Romsey Avenue Rudgwick Close Stoneleigh Close

(\*) the northern part of Hatherley Crescent west of Quintrel Avenue and Stoneleigh Close, numbers 55 and upwards odds, 78 and upwards evens)

## Fareham Borough Council

Council meeting agenda 27<sup>th</sup> October 2022

### Item 14 – Local Government Boundary Review

#### Amendment proposed under Standing Order 2.14

This amendment is proposed as an Appendix to the Council's Consultation Response to the Local Government Boundary Commission.

To strengthen the community basis of the Commission's proposed boundaries in the eastern part of the Borough, amendments are proposed to two of the boundaries.

**Town Centre:** The Osborn Road/Southampton Road/Harrison Road area is immediately adjacent to Fareham town centre, unconnected to Wallington or Downend. The proposal is that the northern boundary of Fareham Town ward should run west of Serpentine Road, but east of Harrison Road, to incorporate the northern part of the town centre.

Paragraph 57 of the LGBC report is factually incorrect, the significant recommended amendment does not better reflect the statutory criteria, moving the boundary from a major arterial road to a quieter residential street creates a weaker boundary. The modification to include FE2 within Wallington & Downend as such makes little sense. Wickham Road is the main road, it is the busiest road and strongest natural boundary for the area, with few houses along it, mainly offices and an industrial estate - it is a strong boundary. Park Lane, on the other hand, has none of these things.

As such, if the LGBC is intent on retaining some of FE2 within the Wallington & Downend ward it might consider a stronger boundary to be along the top of Southampton Road, moving the older terraced Victorian homes on Wickham Road into Fareham Town along with the flats that make up Old School Court and up to the flats at The Tollgate, a clear difference in housing structure compared to the houses and bungalows which make up the upper FE2 area. Further, the Victorian housing and apartment areas have little or no parking and residents there are much more likely to walk into the town centre for work or to commute and use local amenities, while the more northern areas with driveways are more likely to commute for work and leisure.

This would then create a stronger boundary for Portchester Wicor, a greater electoral equality between Portchester Wicor, Portsdown & Castle and Wallington & Downend, stronger boundaries for Wallington & Downend and more clearly defined communities according not just to those natural boundaries but historical boundaries, communities by housing type, age and local area usage.

**Portchester Wicor:** The addition of the Romsey Avenue area to Wallington & Downend is consistent with our approach of having both sides of a street (in this case Portchester Road) in the same ward. Wicor Primary School and the proposed new development at Romsey Avenue should remain in Portchester Wicor.

Our proposal focused on keeping the 'original' historical boundary of Portchester within the two Portchester Wicor and Portsdown & Castle wards, while the newer housing estates and Downend were to be moved into the Wallington & Downend ward. Namely, a part of PW3 to be moved into Wallington & Downend ward the LGBC have moved back into Wicor. This

creates a very odd boundary and shape for Portchester Wicor, which goes against the criteria for community and strong boundaries. The residents at the top of the LGBC proposed Portchester Wicor ward are likely to have little interaction with those on the coast. In terms of the boundary shape, it creates a very pointed top of the ward that could not be considered a strong boundary.

Rev Dr Ian Meredith, Vicar and Area Dean, stated in his St Mary's Church newsletter that the church considers the boundary to be at Hatherley Crescent as this is where their parish ends. This is an example of a strong community boundary, as the area West of this is included within the Fareham parish and would match up more strongly with our proposed warding.

The Fareham proposed boundary is reflected in housing type also. The Romsey Avenue and Quintrel Avenue areas consist of newer, mostly semi-detached, housing. The area from Cornaway Lane, East and South, is made up almost exclusively of older bungalows. This also creates a different demographic, which again provides strong evidence of differing communities that lend to the creation of a boundary.

The exact changes proposed, which ensure that ward sizes are within the recommended range, are:

1) From Wallington & Downend to Fareham Town (815 voters based on 2028 electorate):

FE2	FE3
Coghlan Close Harrison Road Old School Court Park Lane (east side) Poyner Close Southampton Road William Price Gardens	Archery Lane Northwood Square Osborn Road Osborn Road South Trinity Street (north of Osborn Road)

2) From Portchester Wicor to Wallington & Downend (615 voters):

PW3
Ashtead Close Beaulieu Avenue Hatherley Crescent (*) Merrow Close Portchester Road Quintrel Avenue Romsey Avenue Rudgwick Close Stoneleigh Close

(\*) the northern part of Hatherley Crescent west of Quintrel Avenue and Stoneleigh Close, numbers 55 and upwards odds, 78 and upwards evens)

# Minute Item 16

Item 16 – Allocations to Committees  
 Tabled Item

## Appendix A: Political Balance Calculation

		Conservative 26 83.87%		Liberal Democrat 4 12.9%		Independent 1 3.25%	
Seats to be allocated		Strict Entitlement	Rounded	Strict Entitlement	Rounded	Strict Entitlement	Rounded
	85	71.29	71	10.97	11	2.74	3
Policy & Resources Scrutiny Panel	7	5.87	6	0.9	1	0.23	0
Leisure & Community Scrutiny Panel	7	5.87	6	0.9	1	0.23	0
Planning & Development Scrutiny Panel	7	5.87	6	0.9	1	0.23	0
Health & Public Protection Scrutiny Panel	7	5.87	6	0.9	1	0.23	0
Housing Scrutiny Panel	7	5.87	6	0.9	1	0.23	0
Streetscene Scrutiny Panel	7	5.87	6	0.9	1	0.23	0
Climate Change Scrutiny Panel	7	5.87	6	0.9	1	0.23	0
Daedalus Scrutiny Panel	7	5.87	6	0.9	1	0.23	0
Licensing & Regulatory Affairs	13	10.90	11	1.68	2	0.42	0
Planning Committee	9	7.55	8	1.16	1	0.29	0
Audit & Gov	7	5.87	6	0.9	1	0.23	0
Notional allocation			73		12		0
Adjustment Required			-2		-1		(+3)

### Notes:

- (i) It is recommended that the number of seats for the Licensing & Regulatory Affairs Committee is reduced by one to 13 seats which results in the total number of seats being reduced by one to 85. This has the net effect of reducing the overall strict entitlement of seats for the Conservative group by one to 71. No other groups are affected by the change, and it does not affect the ability to appoint a Licensing Panel from the membership of the LRA Committee.

- (ii) Following the calculation rules as set out in the Local Government and Housing Act 1989 (and listed at paragraph 3 of the report), the Conservative Group has notionally been allocated 73 seats on committees which is 2 more than the strict entitlement of 71 and therefore a manual adjustment of -2 is required.
- (iii) Similarly, the Liberal Democrat Group has notionally been allocated 12 seats on committees which is 1 more than the strict entitlement of 11 and therefore a manual adjustment of -1 is required.
- (iv) There is one independent councillor who does not represent any political group. There is no strict entitlement calculation applicable to an independent member however, following the calculations and allocation of seats to political groups, the Council must appoint the non-group member to any seats not otherwise allocated.

Agenda item 16 – Committee Nomination Schedule  
 Tabled Appendix B

Conservative Group

	Executive portfolio	Policy & Resources Scrutiny Panel	Leisure & Comm Scrutiny Panel	Planning & Dev Scrutiny Panel	Health & Public Protection Scrutiny	Housing Scrutiny Panel	Streetscene Scrutiny Panel	Climate Change Scrutiny Panel	Daedalus Scrutiny Panel	Licensing & Regulatory Affairs	Planning Committee	Audit & Governance
No of seats>		6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	10	8	5
Bastable, IJ	S									X	VC	
Bayford, Mrs SM		CH	X						X	X		
Bird, RN			X			X	D					VC
Birkett, FW		X			CH	X			D		D	
Bryant, Mrs PM			D		X					CH		
Burgess, Mrs FL				X						VC		CH
Burton, Miss J	H&PP										X	
Clubley, Mrs LE			VC				X	X				
Daniells, MR		X		CH				X				D
Davis, HP						X		X				
Dugan, S		D						X	CH	X	D	
Ellis, Mrs TL				X	X			CH				X
Foot, DG			CH			X	X				X	
Ford, MJ			X						X	X	X	
Gregory, NR							X	VC				X
Harper, Miss T		X	X		X		CH					
Hayre, Mrs PK				D			VC		X	X		
Hockley, Mrs CLA	H										X	
Ingram, SP					D	VC				X	X	
Mandry, Mrs K		X				CH	X		X	D		
Martin, SD	P & D											X
Needham, Mrs J				X	X			D	VC			
Pankhurst, Mrs S				VC	VC	D				X		
Walker, NJ		VC		X							CH	
Walker, Mrs SM	L & C									X	X	
Woodward, SDT	P & R											
Total												
Adjustment												

Agenda item 16 – Committee Nomination Schedule  
 Tabled Appendix B

Liberal Democrat Group

	<i>Executive portfolio</i>	<i>Policy &amp; Resources Scrutiny Panel</i>	<i>Leisure &amp; Comm Scrutiny Panel</i>	<i>Planning &amp; Dev Scrutiny Panel</i>	<i>Health &amp; Public Protection Scrutiny</i>	<i>Housing Scrutiny Panel</i>	<i>Streetscene Scrutiny Panel</i>	<i>Climate Change Scrutiny Panel</i>	<i>Daedalus Scrutiyn Panel</i>	<i>Licensing &amp; Regulatory Affairs</i>	<i>Planning Committee</i>	<i>Audit &amp; Governance</i>
<b>No of seats&gt;</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
Bainbridge, Ms C			D	D			Xs	X			D	D
Hamilton, DJ		Xs			D					X		X
Nother, PM				Xs			D			D	X	
Trott, Mrs KK		D	Xs		Xs	Xs				X	D	
Total												
Adjustment									-1			

Independent Member:

	<i>Executive portfolio</i>	<i>Policy &amp; Resources Scrutiny Panel</i>	<i>Leisure &amp; Comm Scrutiny Panel</i>	<i>Planning &amp; Dev Scrutiny Panel</i>	<i>Health &amp; Public Protection Scrutiny</i>	<i>Housing Scrutiny Panel</i>	<i>Streetscene Scrutiny Panel</i>	<i>Climate Change Scrutiny Panel</i>	<i>Daedalus Scrutiyn Panel</i>	<i>Licensing &amp; Regulatory Affairs</i>	<i>Planning Committee</i>	<i>Audit &amp; Governance</i>
<b>No of seats&gt;</b>									<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>		<b>1</b>
Englefield, JM									X	X		X
Total												
Adjustment												